UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,795	01/30/2004	Dimitri Kanevsky	YOR920000135US2 (13440A)	7119	
	7590 04/16/200 FT MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER			
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			YEN, SYLING		
GARDEN CITY	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/768,	795	KANEVSKY ET A	L.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		SYLING	YEN	2166				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 January 20	104					
·		ed on <u>50 January 20</u> 2b)⊠ This action is						
′=		/ —		ters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2</u>		cepted or b)☐ o	objected to by the Examin	er.			
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any obje	·		-				
			_		FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	£(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/30/04</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

1. The pending claim 19 is presented for examination.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 19 cites the phrase "(including embedded devices)". It is not clear what does it mean to have the limitation "including embedded devices" parenthesized. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2166

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious by Beeler, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5,974,563 hereinafter, "Beeler") in view of Dulong (U.S. Patent 5,825,921 hereinafter, "Dulong"), Kondo et al (U.S. Patent 5,586,254 hereinafter, "Kondo") and Kawamura et al (U.S. Patent 5,136,642 hereinafter, "Kawamura").
- 6. With respect to claim 1,

Beeler discloses a system for tracking and backing all the information that a user generates on computer devices, in real time (Beeler col. 3 lines 43 - 67 e.g. real-time, transaction-based replication of one or more source computers on a network to one or more target computers, which may or may not be running the same operating system software as the original source computer), the system comprising:

means for sending all of this information to a remote server (Beeler col. 3 lines 43 - 67 e.g. real-time, transaction-based replication of one or more source computers on a network to one or more target computers, which may or may not be running the same operating system software as the original source computer) via the Internet for backup,

wherein the remote server generates and downloads the same files that are downloaded on the local user computer devices (Beeler col. 5 lines 44-52 e.g. provided for the user to select files to be replicated by file name, location, or type. A database of files to be replicated is maintained on the source computer; to mirror only

those files on a computer that are considered to be critical enough to require real-time replication);

Page 4

the translator interprets which actions made by the user actually change the database, and this information is sent to a backup server, where it is decided what should be saved (Beeler (33) e.g. replication commands can be held in memory or on disk while data on the target computer is accessed);

wherein the backup server also downloads files that were downloaded by the user (Beeler col. 5 lines 44-52 e.g. provided for the user to select files to be replicated by file name, location, or type. A database of files to be replicated is maintained on the source computer; to mirror only those files on a computer that are considered to be critical enough to require real-time replication).

Although Beeler substantially teaches the claimed invention, Beeler does not explicitly indicate the capability of **(including embedded devices (Dulong (18) e.g. the signal generator 522 may also include a stylus and specialized pad for input of handwriting information (which is an analog communication signal representing the movement of the stylus with reference to the pad by a user hand)));**

a local server to record all user actions and gestures (Dulong col. 3 lines 6 - 23 e.g. use within a computer system specially implemented for pattern recognition applications, such as handwriting or voice recognition);

remote server interprets the user's actions, including user gestures (Dulong col. 3 lines 6 - 23 e.g. transferring information from one set or sets of memory locations to another set or sets of memory locations; use within a computer system specially

implemented for pattern recognition applications, such as handwriting or voice recognition);

wherein the user's actions are stored in a database of user actions, the user's actions are interpreted by a translator server (Dulong col. 3 lines 6 - 23 e.g. transferring information from one set or sets of memory locations to another set or sets of memory locations; use within a computer system specially implemented for pattern recognition applications, such as handwriting or voice recognition)

Dulong teaches the limitations as stated above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time of the present invention, having the teachings of Beeler and Dulong before him/her, to modify the data processing system of Beeler, wherein the data processing system would include pattern recognition, such as handing writing or voice recognition as taught by Dulong because that would have allowed the data processing system to provide a computer system with an efficient mechanism for transferring information quickly and without consuming valuable computation time which can be useful in conjunction with a pattern recognition system (Dulong col. 1 lines 32-37).

Although Beeler and Dulong combination substantially teaches the claimed invention, they do not explicitly indicate the capability of wherein the remote server has a virtual map (Kondo col. 7 lines 46-64 e.g. a second database storing information relating to a peripheral map indicating the location of the network device) of all the embedded devices (Kondo col. 22 lines 36-46 e.g. identifying a certain computer, terminal, network device, peripheral device or cable from those as displayed in the

drawing of the network configuration; Examiner has interpreted according to Kanevsky et al (US 6,295,051) such that the peripheral device of a computer is an embedded device of a computer) on a computer that the person uses.

On the other hand, Kondo teaches the limitation as stated above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time of the present invention, having the teachings of Beeler, Dulong and Kondo before him/her, to modify the data processing system of Beeler and Dulong combination, wherein the system would include a peripheral map of peripheral devices at a remote server as taught by Kondo because that would have allowed the system to provide technology so adapted as to reduce the work of a network manager and simplify the work of the network manager (Kondo col. 6 lines 8-13).

Although Beeler, Dulong and Kondo combination substantially teaches the claimed invention, they do not explicitly indicate the capability of wherein the user generates new data by using a program that generates new prime numbers (Kawamura col. 8 lines 51-61 e.g. two different large prime numbers p, q are generated,).

Kawamura teaches the limitation as stated above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time of the present invention, having the teachings of Beeler, Dulong, Kondo and Kawamura before him/her, to modify the data processing system of Beeler, Dulong and Kondo combination, wherein the method would include generating different prime numbers as taught by Kawamura because that would have allowed the system to

Art Unit: 2166

prevent the impersonation attack carried out by resending the key distribution information in the sharing key method between two persons or among three or more persons (Kawamura col. 3 lines 35-42).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 7. The examiner requests, in response to this office action, support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.
- 8. When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the reference cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SyLing Yen whose telephone number is 571-270-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2166

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SyLing Yen Examiner Art Unit 2166

SY April 2, 2008

/C. D. L./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

/Hosain T Alam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166